THE FEDERATION OF BANK OF INDIA OFFICERS' ASSOCIATIONS

(REGD.No.7364)

Regd.Office: Bank of India Bldgs., 70-80, M.G.Road, Mumbai - 400 023.



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL SECRETARY, C/o Bank Of India, Parliament Street Br. PTI Bldg., 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi - 1100 001. Phone:011-23730096, Tel/fax:011-23719431

E-mail: fboioa.sectt@yahoo.com



Dated: 08.08.2013

Dear Comrade,

We reproduce hereunder Circular No. 36 issued by General Secretary, AIBOC for your information.

Quote:

RIGHTEOUSNESS MAY BE ENGULFED BY DECEIT RIGHTEOUSNESS WILL ULTIMATELY TRIUMPH

It was on 4th of July, 2013. The undersigned was attending the meeting of UFBU at Chennai. Amidst serious discussions to draw strategy against policies of the Governement and for Salary revision exercise, there came a heartening news of victory of justice. Hon'ble High Court of Madras while deploring the action of SBI Management quashed the charge sheets issued to office bearers of SBIOA for holding peaceful demonstration. The full text of the full judgement has since been received.

We are glad to share with you the landmark judgement delivered by the honourable Madras High Court Bench in a case filed by the State Bank of India Officers' Association, Chennai Circle challenging the charge sheets issued to it's President and General Secretary for holding a lunch time demonstration against the Bank Chairman's statement to have 7 day banking and demanding 5 day week . The High Court Bench comprising Hon. Jus. Chitra Venkatraman and Jus. Vasuki has quashed the Charge Sheets, set aside the enquiry reports and castigated the SBI Management. We append below the highlights of the Judgement.

EXCERPTS FROM THE JUDGEMENT:
the question is as to whether the demonstration held by the appellants, who are officers of the bank within the bank's premises, would amount to misconduct and violation of the Service Rules.
The holding of a demonstration is held to be a constitutional right guaranteed under Article 19 of the Constitution of India. Thus, so long as the same is not violative of Rule 54, such holding of the demonstration certainly stands protected by Article 19. We fail to understand how holding a demonstration, per se, would amount to a misconduct. The respondents do not dispute that the right to hold a demonstration in a peaceful manner is one within the scope of Article 19 of the Constitution of India. (emphasis added)
In other words, the Supreme Court held that the class of servants of Government other than members of armed forces and forces charged with the maintenance of public order are entitled to the same rights with other citizens of the country guaranteed under Part III and they could not be excluded merely by reason of their being Government servants and the nature and the incidence of the duties which they have to discharge in that capacity.
in the face of guaranteed right to hold demonstration as a form of free expression and speech, the imputations are of very generic nature and goes against the very concept of forming a Union as well as holding a peaceful demonstration. While the respondents do not deny that the Union is a registered Union recognised by the Bank, we fail to understand how the participation by an Officer who incidentally holds the post in the governing body of the Union, would go against Rule 50(4), 50(5) and 50(6) of the Rules. (emphasis added).
In this background, could staging a demonstration be offensive of Rule 50(4), 50(5) and 50(6), that Rule

50(4), 50(5) and 50(6) of the Rules have to be reconciled with Rule 54(1) of the Rules? As already seen, the Rules do not, in any manner, negative the right of holding a demonstration. Rule 54(1), in

fact, negatives only such of those demonstrations which go against the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of the State, friendly relations with foreign state, public order, decency or morality, or which involves contempt of the Court.

Thus, if Rule 50(4), 50(5) and 50(6) requires any reconciliation with Rule 54, it is only such of those conduct which are incompatible to the reputation and goodwill of the bank and offensive of Rule 54, could be called as misconduct for initiating action and nothing else we fail to understand the logic of the respondents that holding of such a demonstration has brought disrepute to the Bank or affected the functioning of the Bank, amounting to misconduct. (emphasis added)
Thus, so long as the demonstration did not disturb public tranquility and the working of the Bank, we do not find any justification to hold that the peaceful demonstration held in front of the Local Head Office by the Officers amounted to misconduct.
on the holding of a demonstration inside the campus, we hold that a mere demonstration held, per se, thus cannot be understood as a mark of misconduct under the Rules. It is no doubt true that but for the status of the appellants as Officers of the Bank, they would have no place in the Union which is essentially comprised of the staff in the rank of Officers. Yet, the same official ranking would not, in any manner, disentitle such a person from holding a post in the Union to participate in a demonstration, they being members of a recognised Association. The respondents are the one who granted the recognition to the association, which means, they recognised the Officer holding position in the union as General Secretary or President. When that being the case, with no grievance projected on the holding of office in the Association, we fail to understand how the demonstration held by the Officer would come as in conflict with Rule 50(4), 50(5) and 50(6) to become "misconduct" under Rule 64, inviting disciplinary action. (emphasis added)
Thus, if for the purpose of making the Vice President of the Association a Director of the Bank, the charges could be dropped and that the participation and other allegations as contained in the statement of misconduct are not a misconduct, we do not know what one would call the present proceedings against the appellant as nothing but one writ with mala fide. (emphasis added)
DHARMA HAS TRIUMPHED
AIBOC congratulates Com. D. Thomas Franco Rajendradev, treasurer, AIBOC, Vice President AISBOF and General Secretary, SBIOA(CC) and Com. D Suresh Kumar, Dy. Gen. Secy, AIBOC and AISBOF and President, SBIOA(CC).
With Revolutionary Greetings,
Yours comradely, Sd/-
(HARVINDER SINGH)
GENERAL SECRETARY
Unquote:

2

Yours Faithfully,

With greetings

(HARVINDER SINGH)
GENERAL SECRETARY